PHIL309P Philosophy, Politics and Economics

Eric Pacuit University of Maryland, College Park pacuit.org



Announcements



► Course website

https://myelms.umd.edu/courses/1133211

- ► Problem set 1
- ► Online quiz 2
- Reading: Gaus, Ch 2; Reiss, Ch 3; Briggs SEP article.
- Weekly writing: **Due Wednesday**, **11.59pm**.



In many circumstances the decision maker doesn't get to choose outcomes directly, but rather chooses an instrument that affects what outcome actually occurs.



In many circumstances the decision maker doesn't get to choose outcomes directly, but rather chooses an instrument that affects what outcome actually occurs.

Choice under

- *certainty*: highly confident about the relationship between actions and outcomes
- ► *risk*: clear sense of possibilities and their likelihoods
- *uncertainty*: the relationship between actions and outcomes is so imprecise that it is not possible to assign likelihoods



Α

В





 $w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} w_n$





An **act** is a function $A : W \to O$



States: {the sixth egg is good, the sixth egg is rotten}

Consequences: { six-egg omelet, no omelet and five good eggs destroyed, six-egg omelet and a cup to wash....}

Acts: { break egg into bowl, break egg into a cup, throw egg away}



| | Good egg (s_1) | Bad egg (s_2) |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₃) | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) |
| Throw away (A_3) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) | five egg omelet (o_6) |



| | Good egg (s_1) | Bad egg (s_2) |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₃) | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) |
| Throw away (A_3) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) | five egg omelet (o_6) |

$$A_1(s_1) = o_1$$
 $A_1(s_2) = o_2$



| | Good egg (s_1) | Bad egg (s_2) |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Break into a bowl (A1) | six egg omelet (<i>o</i> ₁) | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (0 ₂) |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₃) | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) |
| Throw away (A_3) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) | five egg omelet (o_6) |

$$A_1(s_1) = o_1$$
 $A_1(s_2) = o_2$



| | Good egg (s_1) | Bad egg (s_2) |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₃) | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) | five egg omelet (o_6) |

 $o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$



| | Good egg (s_1) | Bad egg (s_2) |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₃) | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) |
| Throw away (A_3) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) | five egg omelet (o_6) |

 $o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$ How should A_1, A_2 and A_3 be ranked?

Strict Dominance





 $\forall w \in W$, u(A(w)) > u(B(w))

Weak Dominance





 $\forall w \in W, u(A(w)) \ge u(B(w)) \text{ and } \exists w \in W, u(A(w)) > u(B(w))$

MaxMin (Security)





 $\min(\{u(A(w)) \mid w \in W\})$

MaxMax





 $\max(\{u(A(w)) \mid w \in W\})$

Maximize (Subjective) Expected Utility





 $\sum_{w \in W} P_A(w) * u(A(w))$

Subjective Expected Utility



Probability: Suppose that $W = \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ is a finite set of states. A probability function on W is a function $P : W \to [0, 1]$ where $\sum_{w \in W} P(w) = 1$ (i.e., $P(w_1) + P(w_2) + \cdots + P(w_n) = 1$).

Suppose that *A* is an act for a set of outcomes *O* (i.e., $A : W \rightarrow O$). The **expected utility** of *A* is:

$$\sum_{w \in W} P(w) * u(A(w))$$



| | Good egg (s_1) | Bad egg (s_2) |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (<i>o</i> ₁) | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (<i>o</i> ₂) |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₃) | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (o_4) |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) | five egg omelet (o_6) |



| | Good egg (s_1) 0.8 | Bad egg (s_2) 0.2 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) 6 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (<i>o</i> ₂) 1 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₃) 4 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₄) 3 |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (05) 2 | five egg omelet (o_6) 5 |

$$o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$$
 $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$
 $u(o_1) = 6, u(o_6) = 5, u(o_3) = 4, u(o_4) = 3, u(o_5) = 2, u(o_2) = 1$



| | Good egg (<i>s</i> ₁) 0.8 | Bad egg (<i>s</i> ₂) 0.2 |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (<i>o</i> ₁) 6 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) 1 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o_3) 4 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (o_4) 3 |
| Throw away (A_3) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) 2 | five egg omelet (o_6) 5 |

$$o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$$
 $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$
 $EU(A_1) = P(s_1) * u(A_1(s_1)) + P(s_2) * u(A_1(s_2)) = 0.8 * 6 + 0.2 * 1 = 5.0$



| | Good egg (s_1) 0.8 | Bad egg (<i>s</i> ₂) 0.2 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) 6 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (<i>o</i> ₂) 1 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o_3) 4 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (04) 3 |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (05) 2 | five egg omelet (o_6) 5 |

$$o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$$
 $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$
 $EU(A_2) = P(s_1) * u(A_2(s_1)) + P(s_2) * u(A_2(s_2)) = 0.8 * 4 + 0.2 * 3 = 3.8$



| | Good egg (s_1) 0.8 | Bad egg (<i>s</i> ₂) 0.2 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) 6 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) 1 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o_3) 4 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (o_4) 3 |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) 2 | five egg omelet (o_6) 5 |

 $o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$ $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$ $EU(A_3) = P(s_1) * u(A_3(s_1)) + P(s_2) * u(A_3(s_2)) = 0.8 * 2 + 0.2 * 5 = 2.6$



| | Good egg (s_1) 0.8 | Bad egg (s_2) 0.2 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) 6 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (<i>o</i> ₂) 1 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₃) 4 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) 3 |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) 2 | five egg omelet (o_6) 5 |

$$o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$$
 $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$
 $EU(A_1) = 5 > EU(A_2) = 3.8 > EU(A_3) = 2.6$



| | Good egg (s_1) 0.8 | Bad egg (s_2) 0.2 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (o_1) 9 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o_2) 0 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (o ₃) 8 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₄) 7 |
| Throw away (A ₃) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (05) 1 | five egg omelet (o_6) 9.5 |

$$o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$$
 $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$
 $u(o_1) = 9, u(o_6) = 9.5, u(o_3) = 8, u(o_4) = 7, u(o_5) = 1, u(o_2) = 0$



| | Good egg (<i>s</i> ₁) 0.8 | Bad egg (<i>s</i> ₂) 0.2 |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Break into a bowl (A_1) | six egg omelet (<i>o</i> ₁) 9 | no omelet and five good eggs destroyed (o ₂) 0 |
| Break into a cup (A_2) | six egg omelet and a cup to wash (<i>o</i> ₃) 8 | five egg omelet and a cup to wash (0 ₄) 7 |
| Throw away (A_3) | five egg omelet and one good egg destroyed (o_5) 1 | five egg omelet (o_6) 9.5 |

$$o_1 \succ o_6 \succ o_3 \succ o_4 \succ o_5 \succ o_2$$
 $P(s_1) = 0.8, P(s_2) = 0.2$
 $EU(A_2) = 7.8 > EU(A_1) = 7.2 > EU(A_3) = 2.7$

Cardinal Utility Theory



$$u: X \to \mathbb{R}$$

Which comparisons are meaningful?

Cardinal Utility Theory



 $x \succ y \succ z$ is represented by both (3, 2, 1) and (1000, 999, 1), so we cannot say y whether is "closer" to x than to z.

Cardinal Utility Theory



 $x \succ y \succ z$ is represented by both (3, 2, 1) and (1000, 999, 1), so we cannot say y whether is "closer" to x than to z.

Key idea: Ordinal preferences over *lotteries* allows us to infer a cardinal scale (with some additional axioms).

John von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern. *The Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press, 1944.



R B W S

















$$[1:B] \sim [p:R, 1-p:S]$$





$$1 * u(B) = p * u(R) + (1 - p) * u(S)$$





$$u(B) = p * 1 + (1 - p) * 0 = p$$