# Sample Problems for the Final Exam 

## Final Exam Date: Wednesday, Dec 16, 8:00am - 10:00am, LEF 2205

## Part 1: Basic Concepts

Fill in the blank

1. A $\qquad$ argument is one that has all true premises, and whose premises support the conclusion.
2. A formula of sentential logic is called $\qquad$ just in case it is false on every truth-value assignment.
3. A formula of sentential logic is called $\qquad$ just in case it is true on every truth-value assignment.
4. A formula of sentential logic is called $\qquad$ just in case it is true on some truth-value assignments, and false on others.
5. Two formulae of sentential logic are logically equivalent if and only if they are assigned
$\qquad$ truth-value(s) on every truth-value assignment.
6. An interpretation includes each of the following:
(a) A $\qquad$ _.
(b) An assignment to each individual constant of an individual from the domain of discourse.
(c) An assignment of truth-values to 0-place predicate letters.
(d) For $n>0$, an assignment of a set of ordered $n$-tuples of members of the domain of discourse to each $n$-place predicate letter.
7. $\forall$ and $\exists$ are called $\qquad$ -
8. If $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ is a tautology, then $\psi$ is a $\qquad$ of $\varphi$.
9. If $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ is a tautology, then $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are $\qquad$ .
10. Provide the symbol we use for each of the following connectives:
(a) Conjunction: $\qquad$ .
(b) Disjunction: $\qquad$ .
(c) Conditional: $\qquad$ .
(d) Negation: $\qquad$ .
(e) Bi-conditional (if, and only if): $\qquad$ .

## Part 2: Valid/Sound Arguments

True or False? (Circle your answer)

1. If an argument has a false conclusion it is invalid.

True False
2. No unsound arguments have a true conclusion.

True False
3. If it is not possible for the conclusion of an argument to be false, then the argument is valid.

True False
4. Every invalid argument has a false conclusion.

True False
5. Some invalid arguments have a false conclusion.

True False
6. All sound arguments are valid.

True False
7. If an argument has true premises and a true conclusion, then it is sound.

True False
8. If the conclusion of a valid argument is false, then at least one of its premises is false.

True False
9. Some invalid arguments have a false premise.

True False
10. No sound arguments have a false conclusion.

True False
11. Some invalid arguments have a true conclusion.

True False
12. Every invalid argument has a true conclusion.

True False
13. A valid argument with twenty true premises and one false premise is more sound than an argument with three true premises and one false one.
True False
14. Some valid arguments are unsound.

True False
15. If the conclusion of a valid argument is false, then all of its premises are false as well.

True False
16. If the conclusion of a valid argument is true, the premises must be true as well.

True False
17. If an argument is sound, then its conclusion follows from its premises.

True False
18. All unsound arguments are invalid.

True False
19. Some valid arguments have a true conclusion.

True False
20. Every sound argument has a true conclusion.

True False
21. If an argument has a conclusion that is logically equivalent to one of its premises, then the argument is valid.
True False
22. If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are logically equivalent, then $\neg \varphi$ and $\neg \psi$ are logically equivalent.

True False
23. If an argument has two premises that are logically equivalent, then the argument is valid.

True False
24. If an argument has the sentence 'either it is raining or it is not raining' as one of its premises, then the argument is valid.

True False
25. If an argument has the sentence 'either it is raining or it is not raining' as its conclusion, then the argument is valid.
True False

## Part 3: Translations

Translate the following sentences into predicate logic using the following translation key:

| $S(x)$ | $x$ is a student. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $P(x)$ | $x$ is a professor. |
| $R(x, y)$ | $x$ respects $y$ |

1. Every professor is respected by some student or other.
$\qquad$
2. Every professor respects some student or other.
$\square$
3. Every student is respected by some professor or other.

4. For every professor, there is a student who doesn't respect that professor.

5. For every student, there is a professor who doesn't respect that student.

6. For every professor, there is a student whom the professor doesn't respect.
$\square$
7. There is a student who respects every professor.
$\square$
8. There is a student who is respected by every professor.
$\square$
9. There is no professor who respects every student.

10. There is no student who respects no professor.
$\square$
11. There is a student who does not respect every professor.
$\square$
12. There is a professor who is not respected by every student.
$\square$
13. There is no student who doesn't respect at least one professor.
$\square$
14. There is no professor who doesn't respect at least one student.
$\square$
15. There is a professor who doesn't respect any student who doesn't respect every professor.
$\square$

## Part 4: Truth-Tables

For each of the formulas, use a truth-table to determine if the formula is a tautology, contradictory or a contingent formula. You must explain you answer.

1. $(P \& Q) \rightarrow \neg(P \vee Q)$
2. $(P \& Q) \vee(P \& \neg Q)$
3. $((P \& Q) \rightarrow R) \leftrightarrow(P \rightarrow(Q \rightarrow R))$
4. $\neg(P \& \neg P)$
5. $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow P$
6. $(P \&(P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow \neg Q$
7. $\neg(P \& Q) \vee(\neg P \vee \neg Q)$
8. $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \rightarrow(P \& Q)$
9. $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee(Q \rightarrow P)$
10. $\neg(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P$
11. $\neg(P \vee(P \& Q))$
12. $P \rightarrow(Q \vee R)$
13. $((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P$
14. $(\neg(Q \vee R) \& Q) \rightarrow P$
15. $((P \leftrightarrow(Q \leftrightarrow R)) \leftrightarrow((P \leftrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow R)$
16. $(P \rightarrow Q) \&(Q \rightarrow P)$
17. $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow(P \& Q)$

## Part 5: Truth-Trees

For each of the following formulas, use a truth-tree to determine if it is a tautology. If it is not a tautology, provide an interpretation that makes the formula false.

1. $((\forall x) F(x) \&(\forall x) G(x)) \leftrightarrow(\forall x)(F(x) \& G(x))$
2. $((\forall x) F(x) \vee(\forall x) G(x)) \rightarrow(\forall x)(F(x) \vee G(x))$
3. $(\forall x)(F(x) \vee G(x)) \rightarrow((\forall x) F(x) \vee(\forall x) G(x))$
4. $\neg(\exists x) F(x) \rightarrow(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))$
5. $(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x)) \rightarrow((\exists x) F(x) \rightarrow(\exists x) G(x))$
6. $(\exists x)(F(x) \vee G(x)) \rightarrow((\exists x) F(x) \vee(\exists x) G(x))$
7. $(\exists x)(\forall y) R(x, y) \rightarrow(\forall x)(\exists y) R(y, x)$
8. $(\forall x)(\exists y) R(x, y) \rightarrow(\exists y)(\forall x) R(x, y)$
9. $(\exists x) \neg(\exists y) R(x, y) \rightarrow(\forall x)(\exists y) \neg R(y, x)$
10. $(\exists y)(\forall x) R(x, y) \rightarrow(\forall x)(\exists y) R(x, y)$

## Part 6: Interpretations

1. $D=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$

| $a$ | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $b$ | 0 |
| $A$ | $\{\langle 0\rangle,\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\}$ |
| $B$ | $\{\langle 0\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\}$ |
| $C$ | $\{\langle 0,0\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle,\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle,\langle 3,2\rangle,\langle 4,0\rangle\}$ |

For each of the following formulas, determine if the formula is true or false on the above interpretation.
(a) $C(a, b)$
(b) $(\forall x)(B(x) \rightarrow A(x))$
(c) $(\forall x)(C(x, b) \rightarrow(B(x) \vee A(x)))$
(d) $(\exists x)(\forall y) C(x, y)$
(e) $(\forall x)(\exists y) C(x, y)$
2. $D=\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$ ( $D$ is the set of non-negative integers)

| $A$ | $\{\langle 0\rangle,\langle 2\rangle,\langle 4\rangle, \ldots\}$ (the even integers) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $B$ | $\{\langle 0\rangle,\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle, \ldots\}$ (all integers greater than or equal to 0) |
| $C$ | $\{\langle 1\rangle,\langle 3\rangle,\langle 5\rangle, \ldots\}$ (the odd integers) |

For each of the following formulas, determine if the formula is true or false on the above interpretation.
(a) $(\forall x)(A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$
(b) $(\forall x)(C(x) \rightarrow B(x))$
(c) $(\forall x)(C(x) \& B(x))$
(d) $(\exists x)(A(x) \& C(x))$
(e) $(\exists x)(A(x) \rightarrow C(x))$
3. $D=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$

| $a$ | $\mathbf{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $b$ | $\mathbf{b}$ |
| $P$ | $\{\langle\mathbf{a}\rangle,\langle\mathbf{b}\rangle\}$ |
| $Q$ | $\{\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\rangle,\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}\rangle\}$ |

For each of the following formulas, determine if the formula is true or false on the above interpretation.
(a) $(\forall x) Q(a, x)$
(b) $(\forall x) Q(x, b)$
(c) $(\exists x)(P(x) \&(\forall y) Q(x, y))$
(d) $(\forall x)(P(x) \&(\exists y) Q(x, y))$
(e) $(\exists y)(P(a) \& Q(y, b))$
4. Suppose that the domain $D$ is the set of all integers. $(D=\{\ldots,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3, \ldots\})$

| $P(x)$ | $x$ is a positive integer |
| :--- | :--- |
| $N(x)$ | $x$ is a negative integers |
| $L(x, y)$ | $x$ is less than $y$ |
| $G(x, y)$ | $x$ is greater than $y$ |

Translate and determine if the resulting formula is true or false in the above interpretation.
(a) Every negative number is less than every negative number
(b) Some positive number is less than every number
(c) For every positive number there is a greater positive number
(d) For every negative number there is a greater negative number
(e) Some positive number is less than some negative number
5. For each of the following formulas, if it is a contingent formula, find an interpretation that makes the formula true and one that makes it false. If the formula is contradictory or a tautology, explain why.
(a) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(P(x, y) \vee \neg P(y, x))$
(b) $\neg(\exists x)(P(x, x) \& \neg(\exists z) P(x, z))$
(c) $(\forall x) Q(x) \&(\exists x) \neg Q(x)$
(d) $(\forall x) Q(x) \vee(\forall y) \neg Q(y)$
(e) $(\forall x)(\exists y)(P(x, y) \& P(y, x))$

## Part 7: Deductions

Complete the following deductions.

$$
(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))
$$

1. $\neg(F(c) \& G(c))$
$\therefore \neg F(c)$

$$
(\forall x)((F(x) \vee G(x) \rightarrow H(x))
$$

2. $(\forall x)(H(x) \rightarrow(J(x) \& K(x)))$
$\therefore F(a) \rightarrow K(a)$
$(\forall x)((F(x) \& G(x)) \rightarrow H(x))$
3. $(F(a) \& \neg H(a))$ $\therefore \neg G(a)$
$(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow R(x, x))$
4. $(\forall x) \neg R(a, x)$
$\therefore \neg F(a)$
$(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))$
5. $F(a)$
$\therefore(\exists x) G(x)$
$\neg(\exists x)(F(x) \& G(x))$
6. 

$\frac{F(a)}{\therefore \neg G(a)}$
$(\exists x) F(x) \rightarrow(\forall x) G(x)$
7. $F(a)$
$\therefore G(a)$
$(\forall x)((F(x) \vee G(x)) \rightarrow H(x))$
8. $\neg(G(a) \vee H(a))$

$$
\therefore(\exists x) \neg F(x)
$$

$$
(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))
$$

9. $(\exists x)(F(x) \& H(x))$
$\therefore(\exists x)(G(x) \& H(x))$
10. $\frac{(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow \neg G(x))}{\therefore \neg(\exists x)(F(x) \& G(x))}$
11. $\frac{(\exists x)(F(x) \& \neg G(x))}{\therefore \neg(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))}$

$$
(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))
$$

12. $(\forall x)(G(x) \rightarrow \neg H(x))$ $\therefore \neg(\exists x)(F(x) \& H(x))$
$(\forall x)(G(x) \rightarrow H(x))$
13. $(\exists x)(I(x) \& \neg H(x))$
$\frac{(\forall x)(\neg F(x) \vee G(x))}{\therefore(\exists x)(I(x) \& \neg F(x))}$
14. $\frac{(\exists x) F(x) \rightarrow(\forall x) \neg G(x)}{\cdot(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow \neg(\exists y) G(y))}$
15. $\frac{(\exists x) \neg(\exists y)(F(y) \& R(x, y))}{\therefore(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow(\exists y) \neg R(y, x))}$

$$
(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow(\exists y) \neg K(x, y))
$$

16. $(\exists x)(G(x) \&(\forall y) K(x, y))$

$$
\therefore(\exists x)(G(x) \& \neg F(x))
$$

$(\forall x)(\exists y) R(x, y)$
17. $(\forall x)((\exists y) R(x, y) \rightarrow R(x, x))$
$\xrightarrow[(\forall x)(R(x, x) \rightarrow(\forall y) R(y, x))]{ }$
$\therefore(\forall x)(\forall y) R(x, y)$
$(\exists x)(\exists y) R(x, y)$
18. $(\forall x)((\exists y) R(x, y) \rightarrow(\forall y) R(y, x))$

$$
\therefore(\forall x)(\forall y) R(x, y)
$$

19. $\frac{(\exists x) F(x) \rightarrow(\forall x) F(x)}{\therefore(\forall x)(\forall y)(F(x) \leftrightarrow F(y))}$

$$
(\forall x)(F(x) \rightarrow G(x))
$$

20. $\frac{\neg(\exists x)(G(x) \& H(x))}{\therefore \neg(\exists x)(F(x) \& H(x))}$
