

Introduction to Logic

PHIL 170

Eric Pacuit

University of Maryland, College Park

`pacuit.org`

`epacuit@umd.edu`

October 14, 2015

Announcements

- ▶ Read Chapter 6.
- ▶ Practice, Practice, Practice!
- ▶ Quiz is due Sunday at 11.59pm. Labs are due next Wednesday at 11.59pm. *Start working on the lab problems early!*
- ▶ In-class quiz on Friday.
- ▶ Do the practice quiz problems!
- ▶ Watch the videos about how to do deductions on LogicLab...
- ▶ Watch the video about conditional elimination (but note the lecture today...).

Questions

Why are we learning deductions?

Why are we using LogicLab to learn deductions?

Conjunction Introduction (&I)

$p1.$ φ

$p2.$ ψ

$\vdots$

$c.$ $(\varphi \ \& \ \psi)$ $\&I: p1, p2$

Conjunction Elimination (&EL, &ER)

$p1.$	$(\varphi \ \& \ \psi)$	
	$\vdots$	
c.	φ	&EL: $p1$

$p1.$	$(\varphi \ \& \ \psi)$	
	$\vdots$	
c.	ψ	&ER: $p1$

Conditional Elimination ($\rightarrow$ E)

$p1.$ φ

$p2.$ $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$

$\vdots$

$c.$ ψ $\rightarrow E: p1, p2$

Conditional Introduction ($\rightarrow$ I)

a1.	φ	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
p1.	ψ	Goal
c.	$(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$	$\rightarrow$ I : p1

Conditional Introduction ($\rightarrow$ I)

$p1.$ ψ

$\vdots$

$c.$ $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow I: p1$

Disjunction Introduction ($\vee$ IL, $\vee$ IR)

$p1.$	φ
$\vdots$	
c.	$(\varphi \vee \psi) \quad \vee\text{IR}: p1$

$p1.$	φ
$\vdots$	
c.	$(\psi \vee \varphi) \quad \vee\text{IL}: p1$

Disjunction Elimination ($\vee E$)

$p1.$	$(\varphi \vee \psi)$	Premise
$a1.$	φ	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
$p2.$	ρ	Goal
$a2.$	ψ	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
$p3.$	ρ	Goal
$c.$	ρ	$\vee E: p1, p2, p3$

Dealing with negation

$\perp$: A new atomic proposition that represents a **contradiction**.

This symbol is called the **falsum**, and we treat it almost like any other atomic formula of sentential logic, with one exception: $\perp$ is never used as a constituent of a compound formula—it appears only in derivations as an indication that a contradiction has been derived.

Negation Introduction ($\neg I$)

a1.	φ	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
p1.	$\perp$	Goal
c.	$\neg\varphi$	$\neg I: p1$

Negation Elimination ($\neg E$)

a1.	$\neg\varphi$	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
p1.	$\perp$	Goal
c.	φ	$\neg E: p1$

1. $(P \rightarrow Q)$ Premise

2. $(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ Premise

$\vdots$

$n.$ $\neg P$ Goal

1.	$(P \rightarrow Q)$	Premise
2.	$(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$	Premise
3.	P	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
$n.$	$\perp$	Goal
4.	$\neg P$	$\neg I : n$

1.	$(P \rightarrow Q)$	Premise
2.	$(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$	Premise
3.	P	Assumption
4.	Q	$\rightarrow E: 1, 3$
5.	$\neg Q$	$\rightarrow E: 2, 3$
6.	$\perp$	???
7.	$\neg P$	$\neg I: 6$

Falsum Introduction ($\perp$ I)

$p1.$ φ

$p2.$ $\neg\varphi$

$\vdots$

c. $\perp$ $\perp$ I: $p1, p2$

1.	$(P \rightarrow Q)$	Premise
2.	$(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$	Premise
3.	P	Assumption
4.	Q	$\rightarrow E: 1, 3$
5.	$\neg Q$	$\rightarrow E: 2, 3$
6.	$\perp$	$\perp I: 4, 5$
7.	$\neg P$	$\neg I: 6$

Find the deductions

1. $(\neg P \ \& \ \neg Q)$ Premise

$\vdots$

$n.$ $\neg(P \vee Q)$ Goal

1. $\neg(P \vee Q)$ Premise

$\vdots$

$n.$ $(\neg P \ \& \ \neg Q)$ Goal

1.	$(P \vee Q)$	Premise
2.	$\neg Q$	Premise
	$\vdots$	
$n.$	P	Goal

1.	$(P \vee Q)$	Premise
2.	$\neg Q$	Premise
3.	P	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
$n.$	P	Goal
6.	Q	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
$n.$	P	Goal
7.	P	$\vee E: ???$

1.	$(P \vee Q)$	Premise
2.	$\neg Q$	Premise
3.	P	Assumption
4.	Q	Assumption
	$\vdots$	
$n.$	P	Goal
5.	P	$\vee E: ???$

1.	$(P \vee Q)$	Premise
2.	$\neg Q$	Premise
3.	P	Assumption
4.	Q	Assumption
5.	$\neg P$	Assumption
6.	$\perp$	$\perp I: 2, 4$
7.	P	$\neg E: 6$
8.	P	$\vee E: 3, 7$

1. $(B \rightarrow \neg C)$ Premise

2. $(\neg C \rightarrow A)$ Premise

3. $\neg\neg B$ Premise

$\vdots$

$n.$ $\neg\neg A$ Goal

Double Negation Elimination/Introduction (DNE, DNI)

$p1.$	$\neg\neg\varphi$	
	$\vdots$	
$c.$	φ	DNE: $p1$

$p1.$	φ	
	$\vdots$	
$c.$	$\neg\neg\varphi$	DNI: $p1$